UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,737	12/29/2003 Todd Bailey		21554-042002	2048
²⁶²⁰¹ FISH & RICH <i>A</i>	7590 02/26/200 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O BOX 1022		LUK, EMMANUEL S		
Minneapolis, M	IIN 33440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		1	10/747,737 BAILEY ET AL.						
Office Action Summary			xaminer		Art Unit				
		E	mmanuel S. Lul	k	1791				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS CO one of the control of	DMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>03 Janu</i>	arv 2008						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 January 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
- , 	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the	application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or el	ection require	ment.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 <i>Decemb</i>e</u>	<i>er 2003</i> is/are:	a) accepte	d or b) dobject	ed to by the Exan	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drav	wing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	3. Copies of the certified copies					Stage			
	application from the Internation	•				Clago			
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗌	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/08. 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☑ Other:								
. apo	(-)a 2 a.a. <u> 5. 5 0</u> .		·, u	· - · · ·,					

Application/Control Number: 10/747,737 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Status of Claims: Claims 1-18 are pending.

2. The Request for Continued Examination is noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1791

23).

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar (5512131) in view of Miwa (4897228).

Kumar teaches a template 46 and supporting plate 54 (glass slide therefore transparent) that are brought in to imprint upon a substrate. Kumar teaches

Kumar fails to teach a body with an opening for receiving the template.

Miwa teaches a body 3 (ring) that has an opening that accommodates for a template 2 to be held that is used to imprint upon s material 5.

In regards to the nanolithography template, Kumar teaches a stamper for microstamped patterns on a structure, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to envision the use of the stamper for smaller scale as it is merely a change in size.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kumar with the body taught by Miwa for holding the template in position for operation.

7. Claims 3-5, 9-11, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar in view of Miwa as applied to claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12-15, 17, and 18 above, and further in view of Ledger (5515167) and Semiconductor Memories (see IDS).

Kumar in view of Miwa fails to teach vacuum chucks and silicon dioxide.

Ledger teaches the use of vacuum chucks that are transparent (Col. 3, lines 11-

Application/Control Number: 10/747,737 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

Semiconductor Memories teaches the use of Silicon Dioxide as backing support for the silicon wafer (see page 91).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kumar in view of Miwa with vacuum chucks as taught by Ledger for aiding in holding the template in position and the use silicon dioxide as taught by Semiconductor Memories since it is a known transparent material used in the arts that allows for materials to cure.

Conclusion

- 8. Status of Claims: Claims 1-18 are rejected.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (571)272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,737 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EL
/Yogendra N Gupta/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791